

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,911	04/16/2001	Fredrik Alriksson	040001-022	5430	
759	90 06/01/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Ronald L. Grudziecki			WANG, TED M		
BURNS, DOAN	IE, SWECKER & MAT	HIS, L.L.P.			
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404		2634		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/834,911	ALRIKSSON ET AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ted M. Wang	2634			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF T  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma  - If the period for reply specified about - If NO period for reply is specified about - Failure to reply within the set or extensions.	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ling date of this communication. e is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period w ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 16 Au	oril 2001.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL	• •	action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are (4a) Of the above claim (5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are (6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-23</u> is/are (7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are (8) ☐ Claim(s) are s	m(s) is/are withdrave allowed. rejected. objected to.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
Applicant may not requ Replacement drawing	on <u>7/12/2001</u> is/are: a) are set that any objection to the objection of t	r. accepted or b) ☐ objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11						
a) All b) Some *  1. Certified copie  2. Certified copie  3. Copies of the application from	c) None of: s of the priority documents s of the priority documents certified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent		4)				
3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/22/02.			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/834,911

Art Unit: 2634

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-17 and 20-23 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - □ In claim 1, line 3, replace "PMP" with --- Participant in Multiple Piconet (PMP) ---.
  - □ In claim 20, line 1, replace "PMP" with --- Participant in Multiple Piconet (PMP) ---.
  - □ In claim 21, line 4, replace "PMP" with --- Participant in Multiple Piconet (PMP) --- after "a".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
  - with regard claim 18, the limitation of "monitoring the rendezvous points by a first master node of the first piconet to determine the slave node's first piconet presence for each of the associated payload windows; and monitoring the rendezvous points by a second master node of the second piconet to determine the slave node's second piconet presence for each of the associated payload windows" as recited in lines 8-13, has not been taught by the specification.
  - With regard claims 19 and 20, the limitation of "monitoring the rendezvous points by a first master node of the first piconet to determine the slave node's first piconet

Art Unit: 2634

presence for each of the associated payload windows;" as recited in lines 8-10, has not been taught by the specification

□ With regard claims 21-23, the limitation of "a memory for storing information accessible to the first node, said information being associated with switching of the PMP node to the second piconet, and said information being stored in response to the rendezvous point" as recited in lines 10-12, has not been taught by the specification.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.
  - The prior art fails to teach a method of Claims 1 that specifically comprises the following:
    - The instant application is deemed to be directed to a non-obvious improvement over the invention patented in Pat. No. US 6,594,703, US 6,771,593, US 6,785,254, US 6,829,288, US 2002/0,067,724. The improvement comprises that witching the PMP node to a second piconet to exchange data with a second node within the second payload window; and determining by the first node, in response to the PMP node missing the second rendezvous point, said PMP node switching to the second piconet in order to improve the intrapiconet scheduling.
- 5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the

Application/Control Number: 09/834,911

Art Unit: 2634

issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons

Page 4

for Allowance."

Conclusion

6. Reference(s) US 6,594,703, US 6,771,593, US 6,785,254, US 6,829,288, and US

2002/0,067,724 are cited because they are put pertinent to the Bluetooth with piconet.

However, none of references teach detailed connection as recited in claim.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

Ted M Wang Examiner

Art Unit 2634

Ted M. Wang